If you’re trying to determine whether a proposal was AI-generated, here are some additional telltale signs:

**1. Overly Structured and Generic Language**

• AI-generated content often follows a rigid structure with well-defined headings and bullet points.

• Phrases like **“the goal is to create an easy-to-use platform”** and **“this project aims to solve the problem of…”** sound formulaic and lack personalization.

**2. Lack of Personal Motivation or Context**

• A human-written proposal often includes a unique reason why the person chose the project (e.g., “I love watching movies, but I often forget what I wanted to see, so I built this to track them”).

• AI-generated proposals tend to be impersonal and avoid storytelling.

**3. Minimal or Generic Challenges Section**

• The **Challenges** section in AI-generated proposals usually states obvious problems (e.g., **“Handling API rate limits”**) without deeper concerns like **“Adapting UI components to different screen sizes on various mobile devices”** or **“Balancing API fetch speed with performance optimizations”** which a student might actually worry about.

**4. Placeholder-Like Content**

• Sections like **Wireframes** mention that they will be “included in the final proposal,” but there is no actual content.

• **Project Planning** states “A Trello board will be created” but does not provide a link or describe specific tasks already listed.

**5. Repetitive Sentence Structures**

• AI tends to use **similar phrasing across different sections**, making the text feel redundant.

• Example:

• **“Users can search for movies by title or genre.”**

• **“Users can add and remove movies from their wishlist.”**

• **“Users can view and submit ratings for movies.”**

• A human might vary the sentence structure to make it feel more natural.

**6. Unrealistic or Vague Timelines**

• The proposal states:

• **Week 5:** “Set up project structure, user authentication, and API integration” (this is a lot to finish in one week).

• **Week 6:** “Implement core features” (without details on how features will be prioritized).

• **Week 7:** “Final refinements, UI improvements, and deployment” (a real student might also mention debugging, final testing, or collecting user feedback).

**7. Lack of Technical Depth**

• No mention of specific frameworks, tools, or libraries (e.g., React, Vue, Express, Firebase).

• Doesn’t describe how data will be stored (just says **“stored in localStorage”** but doesn’t discuss scalability, persistence, or alternative options).

**8. No Mention of Edge Cases or User Experience Considerations**

• No discussion on handling empty states (e.g., “What happens when there are no movies in the wishlist?”)

• No mention of error handling, loading states, or API failures.

**Final Verdict?**

If you suspect this was AI-generated, it’s likely because of the **formulaic structure, lack of personal details, vague technical depth, and generic problem-solving approach**. However, a student could have also used an AI-generated draft and slightly refined it.

Would you like help improving or personalizing this proposal?